

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/841,664	04/23/2001	Mitchel P. Goldman	VNUS-57470	6850
24201	7590 01/18/2005		EXAMINER	
FULWIDER PATTON LEE & UTECHT, LLP			ROLLINS, ROSILAND STACIE	
HOWARD H	UGHES CENTER R DRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TENTH FLO			3739	
LOS ANGEL	ES, CA 90045		DATE MAILED-01/19/200	

DATE MAILED: 01/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action	09/841,664	GOLDMAN ET AL.					
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Rosiland S Rollins	3739					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 27 December 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. \$	See MPEP				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dataset been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the d statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in				
<ol> <li>A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF</li> </ol>							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	ecause:						
(a)   they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (	(see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or	simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clain	ms.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):	•					
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	I be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely file	d amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Set		sidered but does No	OT place the				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.</li> </ol>	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly				
<ol> <li>For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w</li> </ol>			and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:						
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.					
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
10. Other:		Rosiland & Rollins	lleris				
		Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3739					

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In a claim drawn to a process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior art. See In re Casey, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and In re Otto, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).